



Malden Oaks
School & Tuition service

Making the **MOST** of everyday

Exams - Complaints Policy

Date reviewed: January 2026

Centre name	Malden Oaks School and Tuition Service
Centre number	14408
Date policy created	04/12/2024
Current policy approved by	Headteacher
Current policy reviewed by	Exams Manager
Date of next review	21/01/2027

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name
Headteacher	Samantha Axbey
Senior leader(s)	Ayse Meliz, Nick Smith
Exams Manager	Stephen Shorey
Other staff (if applicable)	NA

This procedure is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that the complaints at Malden Oaks School and Tuition Service are managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in this procedure to GR refers to the [JCQ publication General Regulations for Approved Centres](#).

Table of Contents

Purpose of the policy	3
Grounds for complaint	3
Teaching and Learning.....	3
Access arrangements and special consideration.....	3
Entries.....	4
Conducting examinations.....	4
Results and Post-Results.....	4
Raising a concern/complaint	4
How to make a formal complaint.....	5
How a formal complaint is investigated.....	5
Internal appeals procedure.....	5
Complaints form	6
Complaints and Appeals log	7

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to confirm the arrangements for complaints at Malden Oaks School and Tuition Service and confirms compliance with JCQ's [General Regulations for Approved Centres](#) (5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers our written complaints policy which covers general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification and our internal appeals procedure.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or his/her/parent/carer) at Malden Oaks School and Tuition Service may make a complaint on the grounds below (This is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and Learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example;
 - Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an examination candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed mark prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed mark in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of the centre assessed mark
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Access arrangements and special consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding their access arrangements
- Candidate was not informed that an application for access arrangements was to be processed using Access arrangements online, complying with the UK GDPR and the Data Protection Act 2018
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangement(s) in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangement(s) would not apply
- Examination information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during examination/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment
- Appropriate arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment

- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required examination/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong examination/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on examination timetable/regulations prior to examination/assessment taking place
- Room in which assessment held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the examination
- Inadequate invigilation in examination room
- Failure to conduct the examination according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (on-screen) examination/assessment
- Disruption during the examination/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by awarding body

Results and Post-Results

- Before examinations, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the availability of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make a decision on the submission of a results review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body *post-results services*)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for a candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Raising a concern/complaint

If a candidate (or parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification, Malden Oaks School and Tuition Service encourages an informal

resolution in the first instance. A concern or complaint should be made in person, by telephone or in writing, to the head of centre.

If a concern or complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

- A formal complaint should be submitted in writing by completing a complaints and appeals form
- Forms are available from the Exams Officer or within the Appeals Procedure document
- Completed forms should be returned to Exams Officer
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 7 calendar days

How a formal complaint is investigated

- The head of centre will further investigate or appoint a member of the senior leadership team (who is not involved in the grounds for complaint and has no personal interest in the outcome) to investigate the complaint and report on the findings and conclusion
- The findings and conclusion will be provided to the complainant within 4 working weeks

Internal appeals procedure

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

- Any appeal must be submitted, in writing, by again completing a complaints and appeals form
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 7 calendar days
- The appeal will be referred to the Chair of the Management Committee or a Committee of the Management Committee for consideration
- The Committee will inform the appellant of the final conclusion in due course

